



## Heart rate variability biofeedback therapy and graded exercise training in management of chronic fatigue syndrome: An exploratory pilot study



Petra Windthorst<sup>a,\*</sup>, Nazar Mazurak<sup>a,1</sup>, Marvin Kuske<sup>a</sup>, Arno Hipp<sup>b</sup>, Katrin E. Giel<sup>a</sup>, Paul Enck<sup>a</sup>, Andreas Nieß<sup>b</sup>, Stephan Zipfel<sup>a</sup>, Martin Teufel<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital, University of Tuebingen, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Department of Sports Medicine, University Hospital, University of Tuebingen, Germany

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 14 December 2015

Received in revised form 27 November 2016

Accepted 29 November 2016

#### Keywords:

Heart rate variability biofeedback

Chronic fatigue syndrome

Graded exercise training

Quality of life

Depression

### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) is characterised by persistent fatigue, exhaustion, and several physical complaints. Research has shown cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) and graded exercise training (GET) to be the most effective treatments. In a first step we aimed to assess the efficacy of heart rate variability biofeedback therapy (HRV-BF) as a treatment method comprising cognitive and behavioural strategies and GET in the pilot trial. In a second step we aimed to compare both interventions with regard to specific parameters.

**Methods:** The study was conducted in an outpatient treatment setting. A total of 28 women with CFS (50.3 ± 9.3 years) were randomly assigned to receive either eight sessions of HRV-BF or GET. The primary outcome was fatigue severity. Secondary outcomes were mental and physical quality of life and depression. Data were collected before and after the intervention as well as at a 5-month follow-up.

**Results:** General fatigue improved significantly after both HRV-BF and GET. Specific cognitive components of fatigue, mental quality of life, and depression improved significantly after HRV-BF only. Physical quality of life improved significantly after GET. There were significant differences between groups regarding mental quality of life and depression favouring HRV-BF.

**Conclusion:** Both interventions reduce fatigue. HRV-BF seems to have additional effects on components of mental health, including depression, whereas GET seems to emphasise components of physical health. These data offer implications for further research on combining HRV-BF and GET in patients with CFS.

**Trial registration:** The described trial has been registered at the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform following the number DRKS00005445.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) is characterised by intense, disabling fatigue persisting more than six months that is not explained by on-going exertion or organic disease and that cannot be alleviated within a normal period by rest or distraction [1,2]. In addition, several physical or somatic symptoms, such as muscular pain, dizziness, headache, sleep disorder, inability to relax and/or irritability have to exist [3]. The prevalence of CFS varies widely depending on disease definition, but it is assumed that the syndrome could affect 1% of the adult population [1], and is more often seen in women and in adults [4,5,6]. Furthermore, there is an increased risk of completed suicide in patients with CFS [7]. Until now, no distinct agents either exclusively physiological or exclusively psychopathological have been identified [8]. Most promising theoretical concepts assume that the experience of fatigue and chronic physical symptoms combined with loss of functioning is influenced by multiple biological, affective, behavioural, cognitive, and social factors [6,8,9]. Wyller et al. [10] proposed a model of sustained arousal in patients with CFS based on the cognitive activation theory

**Abbreviations:** APT, adaptive pacing therapy; BF, biofeedback; BMI, body-mass index; CBT, cognitive behavioural therapy; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CFS, chronic fatigue syndrome; EEG, Electroencephalography; EMG, Electromyography; FSS, functional somatic syndromes; GET, graded exercise training; HRV, heart rate variability; MFI, Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory; RSA, respiratory sinus arrhythmia; SCID, structured clinical interview; SD, standard deviation; SDS, Somatoform Disorder Schedule; SF36, Short Form General Health Survey; SMC, specified medical care; QoL, quality of life.

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital of Tuebingen, Oslanderstraße 5, 72076 Tuebingen, Germany.

E-mail addresses: petra.windthorst@med.uni-tuebingen.de (P. Windthorst), nazar.mazurak@gmail.com (N. Mazurak), marvin.kuske@gmx.de (M. Kuske), arno.hipp@uni-tuebingen.de (A. Hipp), katrin.giel@med.uni-tuebingen.de (K.E. Giel), paul.enck@uni-tuebingen.de (P. Enck), andreas.niess@med.uni-tuebingen.de (A. Nieß), stephan.zipfel@med.uni-tuebingen.de (S. Zipfel), martin.teufel@med.uni-tuebingen.de (M. Teufel).

<sup>1</sup> Authors contributed to the paper equally.

